#### **Cherwell District Council**

#### Planning Committee 11 June 2015

# Decisions Subject to Various Requirements -Progress Report

# **Report of Head of Development Management**

This report is public

# Purpose of report

This report aims to keep members informed upon applications which they have authorised decisions upon to various requirements which must be complied with prior to the issue of decisions.

An update on any changes since the preparation of the report will be given at the meeting.

1.0	Recommendations	
	The meeting is recommended :	
	To accept the position statement	
2.0	Report Details	
	The following applications remain outstanding for the reasons stated:	
10/00640/F (re-affirmed 24.5.12)	Former USAF housing South of Camp Road, Upper Heyford Subject to legal agreement concerning on and off site infrastructure and affordable housing. May be withdrawn following completion of negotiations on 10/01642/OUT	
13/00330/OUT	81-89 Cassington Road Yarnton	
(6.3.14)	Subject to legal agreement	

13/00433/OUT	Land at Whitelands Farm, Middleton Stoney Road, Bicester
(11.7.13)	Subject to legal agreement concerning on-site and off-site infrastructure
13/00444/OUT	Land west of Edinburgh Way, Banbury
(11.7.13)	Subject to legal agreement concerning on-site and off-site infrastructure
13/00847/OUT (7.8.14)	Phase 2 SW Bicester Subject to legal agreement re infrastructure contributions
13/01372/CDC	Land rear of Methodist Church, The Fairway, Banbury
(6.2.14 and 24.4.14)	Subject to legal agreement re affordable housing
13/01601/OUT	Land adj. Spiceball Park Road, Banbury
(6.2.14) and (7.8.14)	Revised proposal received late May 2014 – reconsultation and return to Committee) Subject to reference to Sec. of State and legal agreement re off- site infrastructure contributions following discussions with OCC re highways and parking
13/01811/OUT	Land at Dow Street, Heyford Park, Upper Heyford
	Subject to legal agreement with CDC/OCC
14/00697/F (21.5.15)	Land off Skimmingdish Lane ,Bicester Subject to legal agreement to secure infrastructure contributions and affordable housing
14/01207/OUT (2.10.14)	KM22, SW3 Bicester, Middleton Stoney Rd. Bicester Subject to legal agreement for affordable housing, and on-site provision and off-site infrastructure contributions
14/00962/OUT (27.11.14)	Land S of High Rock, Hook Norton Rd. Sibford Ferris Subject to legal agreement to secure the affordable housing
14/01205/Hybrid (18.12.14)	Springfield Farm, Ambrosden Subject to legal agreement to tie in previous agreement
14/01384/OUT (19.3.15)	Bicester Eco-Town Subject to legal agreement for affordable housing, and on-site provision and off-site infrastructure contributions
14/01737/OUT (19.2.15)	The Paddocks, Chesterton Subject to legal agreement to secure infrastructure contributions and affordable housing

14/01762/F       Swalcliffe Park, Equestrian, Grange Lane, Swalcliffe         14/01482/OUT       Banbury AAT Academy, Ruskin Road, Banbury         14/01482/OUT       Banbury AAT Academy, Ruskin Road, Banbury         14/01483/OUT       Land W of Great Bourton         14/01483/OUT       Land W of Great Bourton         14/01484/OUT       Land W of Great Bourton         14/01484/OUT       Land W of Great Bourton         14/01484/OUT       Land W of Great Bourton         14/01662/F       Site of Tesco, Pingle Drive, Bicester         Subject to (i) referral to Sec of State and (ii) subject to applicant         entering into legal agreement re- employment and skills plan         and relating to previously agreed off-site highway works         3.0       Consultation         None       Atternative Options and Reasons for Rejection         4.1       The following alternative options statement         Option 1: To accept the position statement       Option 2: Not to accept the position statement         Option 2: Not to accept the position statement       Option 2: Not to accept the position statement         Option 2: Not to accept the position statement       Comments checked by:         Kate Crussell @chenyellandsecture to consider the need for a supplementary estimate.       Comments checked by:         S.2       Legal Implications       There		
(27.11.14)       Subject to legal agreement tying in previous agreement to this permission         14/01843/QUT       Land W of Great Bourton         (19.2.15)       Subject to legal agreement to secure infrastructure contributions and affordable housing         15/00082/QUT       Site of Tesco, Pingle Drive, Bicester         (16.4.15)       Subject to (i) referral to Sec of State and (ii) subject to applicant entering into legal agreement re employment and skills plan and relating to previously agreed off-site highway works         3.0       Consultation         None       Atternative Options and Reasons for Rejection         4.1       The following alternative options have been identified and rejected for the reasons as set out below         Option 1: To accept the position statement       Option 2: Not to accept the position statement. This is not recommended as the report is submitted to Members information only         5.0       Implications         5.1       Financial and Resource Implications         The cost of defending appeals can normally be met from within existing budgets. Where this is not possible a separate report is made to the Executive to consider the need for a supplementary estimate.         Comments checked by:       Kate Crussell @ cherwellandsouthnorthants.gov.uk         5.2       Legal Implications         There are no additional legal implications arising for the Council from accepting this recommendation as this is a monitoring report.         Comments chec		Subject to finalisation of the noise management plan
(19.2.15)       Subject to legal agreement to secure infrastructure contributions and affordable housing         15/00082/OUT       Site of Tesco, Pingle Drive, Bicester         (16.4.15)       Subject to (i) referral to Sec of State and (ii) subject to applicant entering into legal agreement re employment and skills plan and relating to previously agreed off-site highway works         3.0       Consultation         None       Atternative Options and Reasons for Rejection         4.1       The following alternative options have been identified and rejected for the reasons as set out below         Option 1: To accept the position statement       Option 1: To accept the position statement. This is not recommended as the report is submitted to Members information only         5.0       Implications         5.1       Financial and Resource Implications         The cost of defending appeals can normally be met from within existing budgets. Where this is not possible a separate report is made to the Executive to consider the need for a supplementary estimate.         Comments checked by:       Kate Crussell @cherwellandsouthnorthants.gov.uk         5.2       Legal Implications         5.4       Legal Implications         5.2       Legal Implications         There are no additional legal implications arising for the Council from accepting this recommendation as this is a monitoring report.         Comments checked by:       Nigel Bell, Team Leader – Planning and Litigation, 01295 2		Subject to legal agreement tying in previous agreement to this
(16.4.15)       Subject to (i) referral to Sec of State and (ii) subject to applicant entering into legal agreement re employment and skills plan and relating to previously agreed off-site highway works         3.0       Consultation         None       Atternative Options and Reasons for Rejection         4.1       The following alternative options have been identified and rejected for the reasons as set out below Option 1: To accept the position statement. This is not recommended as the report is submitted to Members information only         5.0       Implications         5.1       Financial and Resource Implications         The cost of defending appeals can normally be met from within existing budgets. Where this is not possible a separate report is made to the Executive to consider the need for a supplementary estimate.         Comments checked by:       Kate Crussell, Service Accountant, 01327 322188, Kate. Crussell, Service Accountant, 01327 322188, Kate. Crussell, Service Accountant, 01327 322188, Kate. Crussell, Cherwellandsouthnorthants.gov.uk         5.2       Legal Implications         There are no additional legal implications arising for the Council from accepting this recommendation as this is a monitoring report.         Comments checked by:       Nigel Bell, Team Leader – Planning and Litigation, 01295 221687, nigel.bell@cherwell-dc.gov.uk		Subject to legal agreement to secure infrastructure contributions
None4.0Alternative Options and Reasons for Rejection4.1The following alternative options have been identified and rejected for the reasons as set out below Option 1: To accept the position statement Option 2: Not to accept the position statement. This is not recommended as the report is submitted to Members information only5.0Implications5.1Financial and Resource Implications The cost of defending appeals can normally be met from within existing budgets. Where this is not possible a separate report is made to the Executive to consider the need for a supplementary estimate.5.2Legal Implications There are no additional legal implications arising for the Council from accepting this recommendation as this is a monitoring report. Comments checked by: Nate Crussell. Comments checked by: Nate Crussell. Service Accountant, 01327 322188, Kate.Crussell @ cherwellandsouthnorthants.gov.uk5.2Legal Implications There are no additional legal implications arising for the Council from accepting this recommendation as this is a monitoring report. Comments checked by: Nigel Bell. Team Leader – Planning and Litigation, 01295 221687, nigel.bell@cherwell-dc.gov.uk		Subject to (i) referral to Sec of State and (ii) subject to applicant entering into legal agreement re employment and skills plan
4.0Alternative Options and Reasons for Rejection4.1The following alternative options have been identified and rejected for the reasons as set out below Option 1: To accept the position statement Option 2: Not to accept the position statement. This is not 	3.0	Consultation
<ul> <li>4.1 The following alternative options have been identified and rejected for the reasons as set out below Option 1: To accept the position statement Option 2: Not to accept the position statement. This is not recommended as the report is submitted to Members information only</li> <li>5.0 Implications</li> <li>5.1 Financial and Resource Implications <ul> <li>The cost of defending appeals can normally be met from within existing budgets. Where this is not possible a separate report is made to the Executive to consider the need for a supplementary estimate.</li> <li>Comments checked by: Kate Crussell@cherwellandsouthnorthants.gov.uk</li> </ul> </li> <li>5.2 Legal Implications <ul> <li>There are no additional legal implications arising for the Council from accepting this recommendation as this is a monitoring report.</li> <li>Comments checked by: Nigel Bell, Team Leader – Planning and Litigation, 01295 221687, nigel bell@cherwell-dc.gov.uk</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		None
rejected for the reasons as set out below Option 1: To accept the position statement Option 2: Not to accept the position statement. This is not recommended as the report is submitted to Members information only5.0Implications5.1Financial and Resource Implications5.1Financial and Resource Implications5.2Comments checked by: Kate Crussell © cherwellandsouthnorthants.gov.uk5.2Legal Implications5.2There are no additional legal implications arising for the Council from accepting this recommendation as this is a monitoring report.5.2Comments checked by: Nigel Bell, Team Leader – Planning and Litigation, 01295 221687, nigel.bell@ cherwell-dc.gov.uk	4.0	Alternative Options and Reasons for Rejection
<ul> <li>5.1 Financial and Resource Implications The cost of defending appeals can normally be met from within existing budgets. Where this is not possible a separate report is made to the Executive to consider the need for a supplementary estimate. Comments checked by: Kate Crussell, Service Accountant, 01327 322188, Kate. Crussell@cherwellandsouthnorthants.gov.uk 5.2 Legal Implications There are no additional legal implications arising for the Council from accepting this recommendation as this is a monitoring report. Comments checked by: Nigel Bell, Team Leader – Planning and Litigation, 01295 221687, nigel.bell@cherwell-dc.gov.uk</li></ul>	4.1	rejected for the reasons as set out below Option 1: To accept the position statement Option 2: Not to accept the position statement. This is not recommended as the report is submitted to Members information
5.2Legal Implications5.2Comments checked by: Kate Crussell @ cherwellandsouthnorthants.gov.uk5.2Comments checked by: Kate Crussell @ cherwellandsouthnorthants.gov.uk	5.0	Implications
<ul> <li>existing budgets. Where this is not possible a separate report is made to the Executive to consider the need for a supplementary estimate.</li> <li>Comments checked by: Kate Crussell, Service Accountant, 01327 322188, Kate.Crussell@cherwellandsouthnorthants.gov.uk</li> <li>5.2 Legal Implications</li> <li>There are no additional legal implications arising for the Council from accepting this recommendation as this is a monitoring report.</li> <li>Comments checked by: Nigel Bell, Team Leader – Planning and Litigation, 01295 221687, nigel.bell@cherwell-dc.gov.uk</li> </ul>	5.1	Financial and Resource Implications
Kate Crussell, Service Accountant, 01327 322188, Kate.Crussell@cherwellandsouthnorthants.gov.uk5.2Legal ImplicationsThere are no additional legal implications arising for the Council from accepting this recommendation as this is a monitoring report.Comments checked by: Nigel Bell, Team Leader – Planning and Litigation, 01295 221687, nigel.bell@cherwell-dc.gov.uk		existing budgets. Where this is not possible a separate report is made to the Executive to consider the need for a supplementary
There are no additional legal implications arising for the Council from accepting this recommendation as this is a monitoring report. Comments checked by: Nigel Bell, Team Leader – Planning and Litigation, 01295 221687, <u>nigel.bell@cherwell-dc.gov.uk</u>		Kate Crussell, Service Accountant, 01327 322188,
from accepting this recommendation as this is a monitoring report. Comments checked by: Nigel Bell, Team Leader – Planning and Litigation, 01295 221687, <u>nigel.bell@cherwell-dc.gov.uk</u>	5.2	Legal Implications
Nigel Bell, Team Leader – Planning and Litigation, 01295 221687, <u>nigel.bell@cherwell-dc.gov.uk</u>		from accepting this recommendation as this is a monitoring
5.3 Risk Management		Nigel Bell, Team Leader – Planning and Litigation, 01295
	5.3	Risk Management

6.0	This is a monitoring report where no additional action is proposed. As such there are no risks arising from accepting the recommendation. Comments checked by: Nigel Bell, Team Leader – Planning and Litigation, 01295 221687, <u>nigel.bell@cherwell-dc.gov.uk</u> <b>Decision Information</b>	
	Wards Affected	
	All	
	Links to Corporate Plan and Policy Framework	
	A district of opportunity	
	Lead Councillor	
	None	
	Document Information	

Appendix No	Title	
None		
Background Papers		
None		
Report Author	Bob Duxbury, Development Control Team Leader	
Contact	01295 221821	
Information	bob.duxbury@cherwell-dc.gov.uk	